UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,526	03/10/2004	Troy Bourgeois	PU03 0142US1.078	2525
54494 7590 05/13/2010 MOORE AND VAN ALLEN PLLC FOR SEMC P.O. BOX 13706 430 DAVIS DRIVE, SUITE 500 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			EXAMINER	
			DANIEL JR, WILLIE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/708,526	BOURGEOIS ET AL.
	Art Unit
CHARLES N. APPIAH	2617
	<u>-</u>

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	riew filed 14 September 2009.			
 Improper Request – The Request is improper ar reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the following			
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Proposed ☐ Other: . 	view is appropriate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.				
☐ The panel has determined the status of the classical Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:			
3. ☐ Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits renapplicant at this time.				
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been haction will be mailed. No further action is required by a				
All participants:				
(1) <u>CHARLES N. APPIAH</u> .	(3)WILLIE DANIEL, JR.			
(2) <u>LEWIS WEST</u> .	(4)			
/Charles N. Appiah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617				